# US EPA SW-846 Method 6010c using the Thermo Scientific iCAP 7400 ICP-OES Duo

James Hannan, Applications Chemist, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cambridge, UK

## **Key Words**

Environmental analysis, SW-846 method 6010c, US EPA

#### Goal

This application note describes the performance of the Thermo Scientific™ iCAP™ 7400 ICP-OES Duo for the analysis of environmental sample types by the US EPA method 6010c. The iCAP 7400 ICP-OES Duo achieves short analysis times per sample whilst maintaining compliance with the protocol.

#### Introduction

On October 21st 1976, the United States (US) congress enacted the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), which governs the disposal of solid and hazardous waste. Guideline methods for the analysis of these types of samples are collated under 'Test methods for evaluating solid waste, physical/chemical methods' Solid Wastes (SW)-846. One of the specified methods is US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) method 6010c 'Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometry'. This method prescribes the use of Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES) instrumentation for the determination of 31 elements in ground waters, industrial and organic wastes, soils, sludges and sediments, using the Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) or the Extraction Procedure (EP). All samples, except filtered groundwater, must be digested prior to analysis using either US EPA method 3050b 'Acid Digestion of Sediments, Sludges, and Soils' or US EPA method 3051a 'Microwave Assisted Digestion of Sediments, Sludges, Soils and Oils'. US EPA method 6010c is used within the USA and its variants are widely used in other regions, therefore the ability to undertake this type of analysis using US EPA method 6010c represents an important benchmark for any ICP-OES instrument.





#### Instrument configuration

The Thermo Scientific iCAP 7400 ICP-OES Duo was used to analyze a selection of environmental sample types within the quality control framework of the US EPA method 6010c. A duo instrument was selected as it enables elements expected at low concentrations to be measured axially, for maximum sensitivity whilst elements expected at high concentrations can be measured radially. This allows the dynamic range of the instrument to be extended compared to that of an axial view only instrument. The instrument parameters used for this analysis are shown below in table 1.

Table 1. Method modes and instrument parameters

| Parar         | Setting            |            |  |
|---------------|--------------------|------------|--|
| Nebu          | Nebulizer          |            |  |
| Spray C       | Spray Chamber      |            |  |
| Injecto       | or tube            | 2mm        |  |
| Pump tubing   | Sample             | 1.016 mm   |  |
| (Tygon®)      | Drain              | 1.524 mm   |  |
| Nebulizer     | Nebulizer gas flow |            |  |
| Auxiliary     | Auxiliary gas flow |            |  |
| Coolant       | Coolant gas flow   |            |  |
| RF p          | ower               | 1250 w     |  |
| Flush         | Flush time         |            |  |
| Pump          | 50 rpm             |            |  |
| Exposure time | Low (166-230 nm)   | 15 seconds |  |
| Exposure time | High (230-847 nm)  | 5 seconds  |  |

## Sample preparation and methodology

A LabBook was setup using the Thermo Scientific<sup>™</sup> Qtegra<sup>™</sup> Intelligent Scientific Data Solution<sup>™</sup> (ISDS) for the analysis of a selection of elements covered by the US EPA method 6010c (table 2). Calibration standards were prepared in 6% hydrochloric acid v/v and 2% nitric acid v/v, trace metal grade (Fisher Chemicals<sup>™</sup>, Loughborough, UK) and their element concentrations were selected to cover the required measurement range of each analyte. Solid samples were digested according to US EPA method 3051a; A microwave digestion system (Ethos EZ SK10, Milestone) was used to digest 1 g ± 0.005 g of sample in 2 ml of nitric acid and 6 ml of hydrochloric acid, this digestion extract was then made up to a final volume of 100 ml with ultra pure deionised water (18.2 MΩ).

A 5 mg/l yttrium internal standard was automatically introduced online with the mixing kit. The internal standard wavelengths were appropriately matched to analyte wavelengths for each of the viewing modes, Axial / Radial and Low range / High range. Details of the analyte wavelengths, plasma views and internal standard wavelengths selected are presented in table 2.

Table 2. Acquisition parameters

| Element | Wavelength<br>(nm) | Plasma<br>View | Internal<br>standard<br>(nm) | Interfering<br>elements |  |
|---------|--------------------|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Al      | 396.152            | Radial         | Y 371.030                    | Ca                      |  |
| As      | 189.042            | Axial          | Y 224.306                    |                         |  |
| Ва      | 493.409            | Axial          | Y 324.228                    |                         |  |
| Ве      | 234.861            | Axial          | Y 324.228                    |                         |  |
| Ca      | 422.673            | Radial         | Y 371.030                    |                         |  |
| Cd      | 214.438            | Axial          | Y 224.306                    |                         |  |
| Co      | 238.892            | Axial          | Y 324.228                    |                         |  |
| Cr      | 357.869            | Axial          | Y 324.228                    |                         |  |
| Cu      | 224.700            | Axial          | Y 224.306                    |                         |  |
| Fe      | 259.940            | Radial         | Y 371.030                    |                         |  |
| K       | 766.490            | Radial Y 371.  |                              |                         |  |
| Mg      | 202.582            | Radial         | Y 371.030                    | Co, Na                  |  |
| Mn      | 257.610            | Axial          | Y 324.228                    |                         |  |
| Na      | 589.592            | Radial         | Y 371.030                    | K                       |  |
| Ni      | 341.476            | Axial          | Y 324.228                    |                         |  |
| Р       | 177.495            | Axial          | Y 224.306                    |                         |  |
| Pb      | 261.418            | Axial          | Y 324.228                    | Co, Fe                  |  |
| Sb      | 206.833            | Axial          | Y 224.306                    |                         |  |
| Se      | 196.090            | Axial          | Y 224.306                    |                         |  |
| TI      | 377.572            | Axial          | Y 324.228                    |                         |  |
| V       | 292.402            | Axial          | Y 324.228                    |                         |  |
| Zn      | 213.856            | Axial          | Y 224.306                    |                         |  |

Due to the unknown and potentially highly contaminated nature of waste and soil samples, significant spectral overlaps may be observed and therefore will need to be identified and either removed or compensated for. Single element solutions at 200 mg/l of each of the major elements present in the samples (Al, Ca, Fe, K, Mg, Na) and 20 mg/l solutions for each of the trace elements; were analysed to check for their spectral contributions on other analytical elements. Once identified the Inter Element Correction (IEC) function was used to calculate interference correction factors. The interferences observed with these solutions are detailed in table 2.

#### **Results and discussion**

Method detection limits (MDLs) were established by measuring a blank solution (6% HCl, 2% HNO3 v/v). This solution was analyzed three times with each analysis having seven replicates; the standard deviation of the repeats for each of these analyses was multiplied by 3 and a mean value calculated to provide the MDL. These calculated MDLs can be seen in table 3.

Table 3. Method detection limits achieved

| Element | Wavelength<br>(nm) | Plasma<br>View | MDL<br>(µg/l) |
|---------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Al      | 396.152            | Radial         | 6.91          |
| As      | <b>As</b> 189.042  |                | 1.74          |
| Ва      | 493.409            | Axial          | 0.13          |
| Ве      | 234.861            | Axial          | 0.12          |
| Ca      | 422.673            | Radial         | 14.0          |
| Cd      | 214.438            | Axial          | 0.17          |
| Co      | 238.892            | Axial          | 0.93          |
| Cr      | 357.869            | Axial          | 0.68          |
| Cu      | 224.700            | Axial          | 0.85          |
| Fe      | 259.940            | Radial         | 3.92          |
| K       | 766.490            | Radial         | 31.8          |
| Mg      | 202.582            | Radial         | 28.0          |
| Mn      | 257.610            | Axial          | 0.15          |
| Na      | 589.592            | Radial         | 6.87          |
| Ni      | 341.476            | Axial          | 0.56          |
| Р       | 177.495            | Radial         | 0.32          |
| Pb      | 261.418            | Axial          | 0.61          |
| Sb      | <b>Sb</b> 206.833  |                | 0.30          |
| Se      | 196.090            | Axial          | 0.82          |
| TI      | 377.572            | Axial          | 0.30          |
| V       | 292.402            | Axial          | 0.79          |
| Zn      | 213.856            | Axial          | 0.23          |

The US EPA method 6010c requires that a very strict quality control procedure should be followed to ensure the validity of sample data. Quality control checks should be carried out following instrument calibration, during sample analysis and at the end of the analytical run. All checks must meet the required US EPA method criteria for the sample data to be valid. The instrument was set up using the parameters shown in table 1. Immediately after calibration, an Initial Calibration Verification (ICV) solution, calibration blank and Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) solution were analyzed. The calibration blank readback must return a result less than 3 times the method detection limit for each element, while the calibration verification solutions must be within 10 % of the actual values. For each verification solution, the relative standard deviation of a minimum of 2 consecutive analyses must be less than 5% for the data to be acceptable. Analysis of the CCV solution and calibration blank was then repeated every 10 samples to ensure the instrument remained in calibration, the results for the first CCV are shown in table 4.

Table 4. Initial and continuing calibration verification checks (ICV & CCV)

| Element    | Initial Ca<br>Verificati |                 | Continuing Calibration verification (CCV) |                 |  |
|------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---|-----------------|--|
| Lielliellt | Measured<br>(mg/l)       | Recovery<br>(%) | Measured<br>(mg/l)                        | Recovery<br>(%) |  |
| Al         | 0.504                    | 100.9           | 2.463                                     | 98.5            |  |
| As         | 0.496                    | 99.1            | 2.508                                     | 100.3           |  |
| Ba         | 0.515                    | 103.0           | 2.550                                     | 102.0           |  |
| Ве         | 0.482                    | 96.5            | 2.524                                     | 101.0           |  |
| Ca         | 0.488                    | 97.7            | 2.542                                     | 101.7           |  |
| Cd         | 0.530                    | 106.0           | 2.503                                     | 100.1           |  |
| Co         | 0.496                    | 99.3            | 2.412                                     | 96.5            |  |
| Cr         | 0.492                    | 98.3            | 2.493                                     | 99.7            |  |
| Cu         | 0.501                    | 100.2           | 2.436                                     | 97.4            |  |
| Fe         | 0.470                    | 93.9            | 2.379                                     | 95.2            |  |
| K          | 0.461                    | 92.2            | 2.453                                     | 98.1            |  |
| Mg         | 0.509                    | 101.9           | 2.453                                     | 98.1            |  |
| Mn         | 0.469                    | 93.8            | 2.476 99.0                                |                 |  |
| Na         | 0.544                    | 108.8           | 2.538                                     | 101.5           |  |
| Ni         | 0.469                    | 93.8            | 2.455                                     | 98.2            |  |
| Р          | 0.474                    | 94.8            | 2.513 100.5                               |                 |  |
| Pb         | 0.501                    | 100.2           | 2.615                                     | 104.6           |  |
| Sb         | 0.501                    | 100.2           | 2.443                                     | 97.7            |  |
| Se         | 0.523                    | 104.7           | 2.578                                     | 103.1           |  |
| TI         | 0.518                    | 103.5           | 2.521 100.                                |                 |  |
| V          | 0.496                    | 99.2            | 2.410                                     | 96.4            |  |
| Zn         | 0.499                    | 99.9            | 2.522                                     | 100.9           |  |

The iCAP 7400 ICP-OES Duo includes a highly regulated temperature control system. This advanced temperature control technology ensures that the spectrum position remains constant with fluctuations in the laboratory conditions. This ensures that the long-term signal stability of the instrument is exceptional and that CCV samples are within acceptable levels for extended periods, resulting in fewer sample re-runs. Interference check solutions were analyzed prior to the start of the sample analysis in order to verify the accuracy of the IEC factors and the background correction points. Interference Check Sample A (ICSA) was prepared containing 250 mg/l each of Al, Ca, Mg and 100 mg/l of Fe. Interference Check Solution AB (ICSAB) was then prepared by spiking the ICSA solution with concentrations of 0.1 to 1 mg/l for the analyte elements. The values measured for ICSAB must be within 20% of the true value for the data to be acceptable. Data is shown in table 5.

| Table | 6. | Method | validation | checks |
|-------|----|--------|------------|--------|
|       |    |        |            |        |

| Element | ICSA<br>(mg/l)   | ICSAB<br>(mg/l) | Target<br>Value<br>(mg/l) | Recovery<br>(%) |
|---------|--|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| As      | <dl< th=""><th>0.299</th><th>0.30</th><th>99.8</th></dl<>  | 0.299           | 0.30                      | 99.8            |
| Ba      | <dl< th=""><th>0.154</th><th>0.15</th><th>102.7</th></dl<> | 0.154           | 0.15                      | 102.7           |
| Be      | <dl< th=""><th>0.562</th><th>0.55</th><th>102.2</th></dl<> | 0.562           | 0.55                      | 102.2           |
| Cd      | <dl< th=""><th>0.763</th><th>0.80</th><th>95.4</th></dl<>  | 0.763           | 0.80                      | 95.4            |
| Co      | <dl< th=""><th>0.476</th><th>0.50</th><th>95.2</th></dl<>  | 0.476           | 0.50                      | 95.2            |
| Cr      | <dl< th=""><th>0.765</th><th>0.70</th><th>109.2</th></dl<> | 0.765           | 0.70                      | 109.2           |
| Cu      | <dl< th=""><th>0.424</th><th>0.45</th><th>94.1</th></dl<>  | 0.424           | 0.45                      | 94.1            |
| K       | <dl< th=""><th>0.633</th><th>0.65</th><th>97.4</th></dl<>  | 0.633           | 0.65                      | 97.4            |
| Mn      | <dl< th=""><th>0.867</th><th>0.90</th><th>96.4</th></dl<>  | 0.867           | 0.90                      | 96.4            |
| Na      | <dl< th=""><th>0.427</th><th>0.40</th><th>106.7</th></dl<> | 0.427           | 0.40                      | 106.7           |
| Ni      | <dl< th=""><th>0.602</th><th>0.60</th><th>100.4</th></dl<> | 0.602           | 0.60                      | 100.4           |
| P       | <dl< th=""><th>0.883</th><th>0.85</th><th>103.9</th></dl<> | 0.883           | 0.85                      | 103.9           |
| Pb      | <dl< th=""><th>0.366</th><th>0.35</th><th>104.7</th></dl<> | 0.366           | 0.35                      | 104.7           |
| Sb      | <dl< th=""><th>0.102</th><th>0.10</th><th>102.2</th></dl<> | 0.102           | 0.10                      | 102.2           |
| Se      | <dl< th=""><th>0.741</th><th>0.75</th><th>98.7</th></dl<>  | 0.741           | 0.75                      | 98.7            |
| TI      | <dl< th=""><th>1.030</th><th>0.95</th><th>108.4</th></dl<> | 1.030           | 0.95                      | 108.4           |
| V       | <dl< th=""><th>0.183</th><th>0.20</th><th>91.3</th></dl<>  | 0.183           | 0.20                      | 91.3            |
| Zn      | <dl< th=""><th>0.273</th><th>0.25</th><th>109.0</th></dl<> | 0.273           | 0.25                      | 109.0           |

Method performance was verified by analysing two samples, a soil Certificate Reference Material (CRM) (#SQC001, lot 017309, RTC, Laramie, WY, USA) and a locally collected soil sample spiked with the equivalent of 100mg/kg for each of the trace analytes and 1000mg/kg for the major elements. The results from unspiked/spiked samples and recoveries are shown in table 6.

|         | Soil Sample   |                             |                 | Soil CRM #SQC001    |                               |               |
|---------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Element | Unspiked<br>Sample<br>(mg/kg)   | Spiked<br>Sample<br>(mg/kg) | Recovery<br>(%) | Measured<br>(mg/kg) | Certified<br>value<br>(mg/kg) | Recovery<br>% |
| AI      | 9948  | 10965                       | 101.8           | 11346               | 11100                         | 102.2         |
| As      | 2.20  | 107.9                       | 105.7           | 219.4               | 202.0                         | 108.6         |
| Ва      | 62.56   | 169.0                       | 106.4           | 669.0               | 662.0                         | 101.0         |
| Ве      | 0.613   | 108.4                       | 107.7           | 326.8               | 328.0                         | 99.6          |
| Ca      | 1964  | 2943                        | 97.9            | 3239                | 3090                          | 104.8         |
| Cd      | 0.084   | 104.1                       | 104.0           | 241.8               | 265.0                         | 91.2          |
| Co      | 6.16  | 105.5                       | 99.3            | 286.1               | 314.0                         | 91.1          |
| Cr      | 17.76   | 127.5                       | 109.7           | 244.3               | 232.0                         | 105.3         |
| Cu      | 8.369   | 105.4                       | 97.0            | 80.30               | 88.40                         | 90.8          |
| Fe      | 16005   | 17040                       | 103.5           | 8718                | 8850                          | 98.5          |
| K       | 1854  | 2881                        | 102.8           | 9745                | 8890                          | 109.6         |
| Mg      | 1945  | 2913                        | 96.8            | 2043                | 1890                          | 108.1         |
| Mn      | 214.3   | 307.6                       | 93.2            | 1355                | 1370                          | 98.9          |
| Na      | 63.61   | 1008                        | 94.4            | 4953                | 4890                          | 101.3         |
| Ni      | 12.51   | 118.6                       | 106.0           | 81.29               | 76.50                         | 106.3         |
| P       | 320.6   | 426.6                       | 106.0           | 340.0               | 371.0                         | 91.6          |
| Pb      | 86.16   | 194.3                       | 108.1           | 57.26               | 55.90                         | 102.4         |
| Sb      | 0.914   | 104.8                       | 103.9           | 133.4               | 125.0                         | 106.7         |
| Se      | <dl< th=""><th>107.8</th><th>107.8</th><th>155.4</th><th>144.0</th><th>107.9</th></dl<> | 107.8                       | 107.8           | 155.4               | 144.0                         | 107.9         |
| TI      | <dl< th=""><th>97.54</th><th>97.5</th><th>115.1</th><th>118.0</th><th>97.5</th></dl<>   | 97.54                       | 97.5            | 115.1               | 118.0                         | 97.5          |
| V       | 15.13   | 117.2                       | 102.0           | 239.8               | 220.0                         | 109.0         |
| Zn      | 33.70   | 127.0                       | 93.3            | 254.3               | 258.0                         | 98.6          |

# **Conclusions**

The Thermo Scientific iCAP 7400 ICP-OES Duo exceeds the requirements needed to meet the US EPA method 6010c protocol. The instrument includes a high resolution optical system which minimizes spectral interferences and reduces stray light. In addition, the advanced Charged Injection Device (CID) detector results in higher sensitivity and lower noise, which produces a high signal to background ratio.

The simplified work flows of the Qtegra ISDS enable complex procedures to be easily adhered to using the automatic interference correction and quality control protocols. Using the method described, the time taken for each sample analysis was 2 minutes 35 seconds. This short analysis time leads to a low quantity of waste being produced and low consumable requirements, minimising both the cost of analysis and its environmental impact.

# www.thermoscientific.com

©2013 Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. All rights reserved, ISO is a trademark of the International Standards Organization. Tygon is a registered trademark of Saint-Gobain. All other trademarks are the property of Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. and its subsidiaries. This information is presented as an example of the capabilities of Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. products. It is not intended to encourage use of these products in any manners that might infringe the intellectual property rights of others Specifications, terms and pricing are subject to change. Not all products are available in all countries. Please consult your local sales representative for details

**Africa-Other** +27 11 570 1840 **Australia** +61 3 9757 4300 Austria +43 1 333 50 34 0 Belgium +32 53 73 42 41 Canada +1 800 530 8447

**China** +86 10 8419 3588

**Denmark** +45 70 23 62 60

**Europe-Other** +43 1 333 50 34 0 **Japan** +81 45 453 9100 Finland/Norway/Sweden +46 8 556 468 00 France +33 1 60 92 48 00 **Germany** +49 6103 408 1014

India +91 22 6742 9434

Italy +39 02 950 591

Latin America +1 561 688 8700  $\textbf{Middle East} \ \ +43\ 1\ 333\ 50\ 34\ 0$ **Netherlands**  $+31\ 76\ 579\ 55\ 55$ New Zealand +6499806700Russia/CIS +43 1 333 50 34 0

South Africa +27 11 570 1840

Spain +34 914 845 965

Thermo Electron Manufacturing Ltd

(Cambridge) is ISO Certified

Switzerland +41 61 716 77 00 **UK** +44 1442 233555 USA +1 800 532 4752



Part of Thermo Fisher Scientific